

**EDUCATION AUTHORITY (EA) YOUTH SERVICE  
INCLUSION SCHEME  
ASSESSMENT MATRIX, 2019-2020**

<b>Name of youth group/Reference No</b>	
<b>Title of project</b>	

**ESSENTIAL CRITERIA**

Unit is an EA registered group  
Yes/No

The project operates for a minimum of 20 weeks, with a minimum of 2 hours/week  
Yes/No

Applicant organisation has a good track record of delivery, financial monitoring and submitting returns by deadline  
Yes/No

The project works with one of the target groups/communities of interest listed in the Framework  
Yes/No  
Document (Q4)

Age 4 – 25 years      Preference 9 – 18 years  
Yes/No

The project is clearly additional and is not funded through another EA scheme  
Yes/No

**SCORING CRITERIA**

<b>1. The rationale for engaging the target group/community of interest, included in the priority target list in the Framework Document (Q4)</b>			<b>Score (max 25)</b>
Poor	0-5 points	Rationale is not coherent/does not meet the aim of the scheme	
Average	6-11 points	Rationale has insufficient evidence to show group is appropriate	
Good	12-17 points	Rationale has some evidence to show group is appropriate	
Very good	18-21 points	Rationale has very good evidence to show group is appropriate	
Excellent	22-25 points	Rationale has excellent evidence to show group is appropriate	

<b>2. Appropriateness of the objectives, key programme areas and timetable to meet the aim and targets of the scheme</b>			<b>Score (max 30)</b>
Poor	0-5 points	No detail of times, activities and does not meet aims or targets of the scheme	
Average	6-11 points	Some detail provided, but is only partially meeting aims or targets of the scheme	
Good	12-20 points	Detail is provided and largely meets the aims or targets of the scheme	
Very good	20-25 points	Programme well-constructed and meets aims or targets of the scheme	
Excellent	26-30 points	Excellent Programme which clearly meets aims or targets of the scheme	
<b>3. Outcomes are identified specific to elements of the programme and are measured</b>			<b>Score (max 25)</b>
Poor	0-5 points	No description provided of how outcomes will be achieved or measured	
Average	6-11 points	Partial description of how outcomes will be achieved and measured but not enough detail	
Good	12-17 points	At least 2 outcomes are appropriately identified and it is clearly shown how they will be achieved and clear measures identified	
Very good	18-21 points	At least 3 outcomes are appropriately identified and it is clearly shown how they will be achieved and clear developmental measures identified	
Excellent	22-25 points	Excellent identification for at least 3 outcomes in how they will be achieved and good, clear developmental measures identified	
<b>4. Value for money (numbers and duration of project (hours))</b>			<b>Score (max 10)</b>
Poor	0-3 points	Inappropriate numbers and minimum allocation of hours to achieve the targets of the scheme - Does not demonstrate value for money	
Average	4-7 points	Appropriate numbers but average allocation of hours to achieve the targets of the scheme - Partially demonstrates value for money	
Good	8-10 points	Appropriate numbers with well-planned time allocation to achieve the targets of the scheme - Clearly demonstrates value for money	

<b>5. Value for money (rationale for type of young person targeted; impact)</b>			<b>Score (max 10)</b>
Poor	0-3 points	Low impact for type of targeted group - Does not demonstrate value for money	
Average	4-7 points	Moderate impact for type of targeted group - Partially demonstrates value for money	
Good	8-10 points	Excellent impact for type of targeted group - Clearly demonstrates value for money	